DRAFT - SITE ASSESSMENT FOR CHEMICAL APPROPRIATENESS

SITE ASSESSMENT FOR CHEMIC	AL APPRO	PRIATENES	S		NOTE: Score above 3 = no chemical use. Other assumptions are described below.						Pest Species/Si	Pest Species/Situation:								
											Date:									
POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS	S:																			
LOCATION - Park or Property Name	PUBLIC USE	APPLICATION TYPE	PUBLIC SAFETY	LEGAL REQMTS	SIZE OF INFESTATION		RESOURCE VALUE		ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFITS	ADJACENT PROPERTY CONCERNS			URGENCY / CONSEQUENCES OF NO TX		(NATURAL DISASTER RECOVERY)	HOST SUSCEPTIBILITY	Y SCORE			
	Park Use/Trails - Probability that Visitor would NOT be in Tx area	Pesticide application types reflect potential for public exposure	Hazards to Visitors (e.g. thorns, skin irriation) at this Site	Federal / State / .ocal / Dept Regs	Parks & Natural Lands - Feasibility of Control at this Site	OR	Forestry Pests - Feasibility of Control at this Site	Overall Quality of Site	Individual Resource Values (i.e. tree values)	Benefits provided by Resource (i.e. air filtration, shading, etc), Reclamation Project Area - Restoration Potential	Potential for pest to spread to neighbors if not treated	Potential for pest to spread from neighbors	COST CONSIDERATIONS	Control Efforts / Costs (does not f reflect effectiveness of pesticide)	Ecological Implications / Expansion Potential	(Limited use of this category expected) Restoration Potential/Recovery when presence of pest is due to natural disaster	Forestry Pests Number or percent of plants that are susceptible to pest	NUS	# OF DIVISORS	AVERAGE
PARKS	Laural C																			
Park Type: (circle one) Level A Level B General turf area	Level C																			
Athletic Fields - non-programmed																				
Playground																				
Raised planter beds	-																			
Tree grates																				-
Parking lot																				
ROCK areas Natural areas																				
Other																				
																				-
GOLF COURSE																				
Greens																				
Tees																				
Roughs																				
PROGRAMMED ATHLETIC FIELDS																				
Manleton	-			-																
Stazio																				
																				-
UPLAND GRASSLANDS																				
LOWLAND / RIPARIAN / TERRACED FLOOD	PLAIN AREAS	(Surface water	not normally e	xpected in treat	ment area) RAV	E Revie	w Required													
WETLAND, AQUATIC & ACTIVE STREAM CI	IANNEL (Some	e surface water r	normally expe	cted in treatmen	it area) RAVE Re	view R	equired													
KEY:	1	1	1	1		1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	(1)	1			
Low = 1 Chemical use appropriate	Little or low public use	Public would likely not be in area of pesticide use; broadcast applications, wick and spot spray	Presence of pest is very physically dangerous	Eradication mandated; Pest tracked by USFS/CSFS/ APHIS	Few or scattered (<5 or <5 acres)	OR	Several or widespread	T&E spp present; high native plant biodiversity / revenue generating / rec value/high profile	High resource/tree value	Tx would greatly preserve, enhance desired benefits	High potential to spread	Forestry - High potential to spread onto city property. Natural Lands - low input = higher Tx success	1 Tx per year provides desired level of control lasting multiple years	No Tx = Increase in control efforts / costs in the first year	No Tx = High potential for rapid expansion of this species from this site	Tx would greatly enhance recovery efforts	High number of plants or site susceptible to pest			
Moderate = 3	Some moderate or known use; designated or undesignated	Public might be in areas of pesticide use; wick and spot spray; limited broadcast	Presence of pest is moderately physically dangerous	Containment mandated through ordinance or other means	Intermediate or patchy; (6-10 or 5-50 acres or patches)	OR	Intermediate or patchy	Moderate native plant biodiversity / moderate profile / rec value	Moderate resource/tree value	Tx would moderately preserve, enhance desired benefits	Moderate potential to spread	Moderate potential to spread onto city property	1 Tx per year provides desired level of control for that year	No Tx = Increase in control effort / cost in 2-5 years	No Tx = Moderate potential for rapid expansion of this species from this site	Tx would moderately enhance recovery efforts	Moderate number of plants susceptible			
High = 5 No chemical is appropriate	Heavily used areas & designated trails	Public would be in areas where pesticide applied (within 150 ft); wick or spot applications	Presence of pest is NOT physically dangerous	Suppression or Containment mandated; Not covered through ordinance	Several or widespread (>10 or >50 acres)	OR	Few or scattered	Highly disturbed areas	Low resource/tree value	Tx would minimally preserve, enhance desired benefits	Low potential to spread	Forestry - Low potential to spread onto city property. Natural Lands - high input = lower Tx success	>1 Tx per year required for desired control	No Tx = Increase in control effort / cost in >5 years	No Tx = Low potential for rapid expansion of this species from this site	Tx would minimally enhance recovery efforts	Low number of plants susceptible			
N/A = 0																				
ASSUMPTIONS																				
ASSUMPTIONS:	Public books	and onvironm	tal hazarda (montal impacts)	vill bo	urthor access of	when evaluating	opifia abamiaal-	Examples includ-										
1	site-specific p	ublic use consid s, etc.	lerations such	as areas near	picnic tables or pla	aygrou	nds, groundwate	r depth, threatened	and endangered	species										
2 ALL other treatment options have already been examined and chemical use has been determined to be an appropriate option. 2 Chemical treatments will be used in conjunction with other techniques for the best nessible ness!																				
5 Circleman real real interminents will be used in conjunction will other techniques for the best possible result.										thods Costs										
4 organize - Control Frontis / Costs - Assumes una in lates cases, not using herbicides will result in increase enous with other control methods. Cost associated excenses (other equipment required, fuel, etc.)																				
5	(Tx) Treatmer	nt means chemie	cal application	n. T&E means T	hreatened and Er	Idange	red.	,				İ								