| Access/Parking Program or Policy  | Description:   | Issues:  | Plan<br>Integration:                                       | Impact:<br>City | Impact:<br>Community | \$ to<br>Implement: | Customer<br>Service<br>Improvement: | Time<br>Frame:     | Staff<br>Comments:  | Level of<br>Priority: |                 |
|---|--|--|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---|-----------------------|-----------------|
| DISTRICT MANAGEMENT   |  |  |  |                 |                      |                     |                                     |                    |   |                       |                 |
| Partnerships with private parking providers   | As indicated in a recent survey, private owners provide a substantial amount of the parking supply in the downtown district; and the utilization of the private parking is less than the public district parking. An opportunity exists to explore new parking management partnerships between CAGID and the private parking providers to increase utilization of the existing parking supply and increase revenues. | <ul> <li>Private property owners' willingness to participate in a joint management agreement.</li> <li>Residential uses have parking requirements per unit.</li> <li>Potential increased revenues through increased utilization</li> <li>Existing leases may require reserved access to parking spaces</li> <li>Liability issues of public management of a private resource</li> </ul> | TMP<br>ESS<br>North Boulder<br>Plan Update<br>East Arapaho | High            | High                 | ?                   | Creates additional options          | Q2<br>On-<br>going | CONSULTANT INPUT  | Medium to High        | Impact /benefit |
| Integration between districts   | Currently there are three parking districts within the city of Boulder: downtown, University Hill and Boulder Junction. A goal is to provide a seamless parking system amongst the districts, DUHMD/PS will continue to focus on technologies and parking equipment that can be consistent throughout all districts.   | <ul> <li>Different parking uses and needs of the different districts</li> <li>Efficiencies of scale of using similar technologies and parking equipment</li> <li>Customer friendly approach by providing consistent parking interface.</li> <li>Pay by cell in all districts</li> <li>Integration with the CU parking system</li> </ul>  | TMP  | Medium          | Medium               |                     | Consistent parking interface.       | On-<br>going       | CU parking supply will be decreased by an estimated 400 parking spaces for construction. What will the spillover impacts on the surrounding area? | Medium to High        | Impact /benefit |
| IPI's Parking Program Certification Program And Green Parking Council Certification | The parking industry has several certification programs: best practice in parking management, and facility and program review for environmental impacts.   | r 0 - 1 - 2 - 2 - 2  | Climate<br>Commitment                                      | Medium          | medium               |                     |                                     | Q2-3               | CONSULTANT INPUT  | Medium                | Impact /benefit |

| Access/Parking Program or Policy  | Description:  | Issues:  | Plan<br>Integration:  | Impact:<br>City | Impact:<br>Community | \$ to<br>Implement: | Customer<br>Service<br>Improvement:  | Time<br>Frame: | Staff<br>Comments:  | Level of<br>Priority: |                 |
|---|---|--|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|--|----------------|---|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Consideration of how access districts could evolve to integrate with other types of districts: Eco Districts, Arts, Innovation etc. | The access districts have an existing organizational structure with advisory boards, communication system and boundaries. How can these districts address other community benefits and enhancements to the districts.   |  | Arts and<br>Cultural Plan<br>Climate<br>Commitment<br>Civic Area Plan   | High            | High                 | ?                   | Enhancement to the quality of life and economic vitality within the districts. | On<br>going    |   | Medium                | Impact /benefit |
| District Development<br>Projections   | In order to project future access demand, an analysis is done to anticipate future development in existing districts – downtown, hill and Boulder Junction.   |  |   | High            | High                 | \$\$                |  | On-<br>going   | Periodic updates using RRC and Fox Tuttle; awaiting latest update | Medium                |                 |
| Parking/access demand planning software   | In order to plan for projected access and parking demand resulting from new and projected uses, a software-based parking planning tool will be essential. A system exists, Park Plus, that can accommodate different building uses as well as mode share percentages and demand rates to develop projected access demand for a specific area. This tool will be very important for the planning for the Boulder Junction area, where it is unclear what uses will be built, and for the redevelopment of University Hill. | <ul> <li>Cost of the program</li> <li>On-going maintenance costs</li> <li>Training</li> <li>Ability to be agile in projecting parking demand from changing uses and changing alternative mode shares.</li> <li>Consider technology system being considered in the East Arapaho Planning project</li> </ul> | Sustainable Streets and Centers TMP Update East Arapaho Plan North Boulder Plan Update Hill Reinvestment Strategy Boulder Junction Downtown | Medium          |                      | VV                  |  | Q2-3           | Include Jeff Hirt in discussions CONSULTANT INPUT                 | High                  | Impact /benefit |

| Access/Parking Program or Policy  | Description:  | Issues:   | Plan<br>Integration:  | Impact:<br>City | Impact:<br>Community | \$ to<br>Implement: | Customer<br>Service<br>Improvement: | Time<br>Frame:                | Staff<br>Comments: | Level of<br>Priority: |                 |
|---|---|---|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Creation of new Districts   | What are the considerations in establishing other types of access districts in locations in other areas of the city.  | What are the guiding principles:  • 20 minute neighborhoods  • Eco Districts  • Access to High frequency transit What are the models for build out areas in times of transition? What is the tool box for new districts? What can be learned from existing districts? | East Arapaho<br>North Boulder<br>Plan Update<br>TMP<br>Climate<br>Commitment<br>ESS | High            | High                 | ?                   | Greater access options.             | Q2-3<br>Best<br>Practic<br>es | CONSULTANT INPUT   | High                  | Impact /benefit |
| Car Share/Pool/Electric<br>Charging Strategies to<br>support Access Districts | Determine policies for electric vehicle, car share and car pool parking. Being considered in onstreet focus area.   | Overlap with the TDM<br>Focus Area  | TMP Update<br>North Boulder<br>Plan Update<br>East Arapaho<br>ESS                   |                 |                      |                     |                                     | Q2-3<br>Best<br>practic<br>es | CONSULTANT INPUT   | Medium                | Impact /benefit |
| Public Private<br>Partnerships  | Maximize resources and land use through public private partnerships with the parking districts. Current public private partnerships be explored include: 14 <sup>th</sup> Street parking lot on the hill, and Trinity Lutheran redevelopment in downtown. | The districts have valuable resources in surface parking lots and/or financial resources and bonding capacity, and the comprehensive access management  | Hill<br>Reinvestment<br>Strategy<br>ESS<br>Civic Area                               | High            | High                 | \$\$                |                                     | On-<br>going                  |                    | High                  |                 |

| Access/Parking Program or Policy                 | Description:  | Issues:  | Plan<br>Integration:                   | Impact:<br>City | Impact:<br>Community | \$ to<br>Implement: | Customer<br>Service<br>Improvement:  | Time<br>Frame:             | Staff<br>Comments:  | Level of Priority: |
|--|---|--|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|--|----------------------------|---|--------------------|
| Civic Area Plan – Access<br>and Parking Strategy | Within the context of the civic area plan, develop a parking management strategy that deals with:  • Library lot parking management strategies, including free parking policy  • City employee access options: pricing of parking permits and other options; consider parking cash out  • Short and long term parking strategy and plan phasing and replacement plan with implementation of improvements  • Additional TDM strategies for employees and visitors. | Re city employee paying for parking in the muni campus – equity across the organization where employee parking is free. Consider spillover impacts.  Potentially charging for parking in the library lot could shift employee parking locations; impact on library patrons to charge for parking ensuring replacement options – both parking and other multi-modal solutions as parking lots are redeveloped for current uses  As the types of uses change and increase in the civic area, insure adequate access options of all modes, including parking. | TMP Update<br>Civic Area Plan<br>(CAP) | High            | High                 | \$\$\$\$            | Potentially greater access for library patrons with paid parking in library lot. | On<br>Going<br>With<br>CAP | Include HR and CMO in discussions re employee access changes. | High               |

| Departments:     |
|------------------|
| Transportation   |
| CP&S             |
| DUHMD/PS         |
| Municipal Courts |
| Other            |

Develop Code:

v = minimal

\$ = under \$1000

| District Management | Molly, Lead             | Downtown Boulder | Sustainable Streets |
|---------------------|-------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|                     | Kurt Matthews, DUHMD/PS | Uni Hill         | TMP Update          |
|                     | Donna Jobert, DUHMD/PS  | Boulder Junction | Civic Area Plan     |
|                     | Chris Hagelin, T        |                  | Economic Strategy   |
|                     | Paul Leef, CP&S         |                  |                     |
|                     | Jody Tableporter, Parks |                  |                     |
|                     | CAO ?                   |                  |                     |